arXiv:1208.5034v1 [math.AP] 24 Aug 2012

Further results for the Dunkl Transform and the generalized Cesàro operator

Chokri Abdelkefi and Faten Rached *
Department of Mathematics, Preparatory Institute of Engineer Studies of Tunis
1089 Monfleury Tunis, University of Tunis, Tunisia
E-mail : chokri.abdelkefi@ipeit.rnu.tn
E-mail : rached@math.jussieu.fr

Abstract

In this paper, we consider Dunkl theory on $\mathbb{R}^d$ associated to a finite reflection group. This theory generalizes classical Fourier analysis. First, we give for $1 < p \leq 2$, sufficient conditions for weighted L^p-estimates of the Dunkl transform of a function f using respectively the modulus of continuity of f in the radial case and the convolution for f in the general case. In particular, we obtain as application, the integrability of this transform on Besov-Lipschitz spaces. Second, we provide necessary and sufficient conditions on nonnegative functions φ defined on $[0, 1]$ to ensure the boundedness of the generalized Cesàro operator $\mathcal{C}_\varphi$ on Herz spaces and we obtain the corresponding operator norm inequalities.

Keywords : Dunkl operators, Dunkl transform, Dunkl translations, Dunkl convolution, Besov-Lipschitz spaces, Herz spaces, Cesàro operators.
Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): Primary 42B10, 46E30, Secondary 44A35.

1 Introduction

Dunkl theory generalizes classical Fourier analysis on $\mathbb{R}^d$. It started twenty years ago with Dunkl's seminal work [9] and was further developed by several mathematicians (see [8, 11, 14, 16, 18]) and later was applied and generalized

---

[*] This work was completed with the support of the DGRST research project LR11ES11 and the program CMCU 10G / 1503.

in different ways by many authors (see [3, 4, 5, 17]). A key tool in the study of special functions with reflection symmetries are Dunkl operators. These are commuting differential-difference operators $T_i, 1 \leq i \leq d$ associated to an arbitrary finite reflection group W on an Euclidean space and to a non negative multiplicity function k. The Dunkl kernel E_k has been introduced by C.F. Dunkl in [10]. This kernel is used to define the Dunkl transform $\mathcal{F}_k$. K. Trimèche has introduced in [18] the Dunkl translation operators τ_x, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, on the space of infinitely differentiable functions on $\mathbb{R}^d$. At the moment an explicit formula for the Dunkl translation $\tau_x(f)$ of a function f is unknown in general. However, such formula is known when the function f is radial (see next section). In particular, the boundedness of τ_x is established in this case. As a result one obtains a formula for the convolution $*_k$. In the case $k \equiv 0$, the T_i reduce to the corresponding partial derivatives $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$. Therefore Dunkl analysis can be viewed as a generalization of classical Fourier analysis (see next section, Remark 2.1). An important motivation to study Dunkl operators originates in their relevance for the analysis of quantum many body systems of Calogero-Moser-Sutherland type. These describe algebraically integrable systems in one dimension and have gained considerable interest in mathematical physics (see [19]).

Let f be a function in $L^p_k(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$ where $L^p_k(\mathbb{R}^d)$ denote the space $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d, w_k(x)dx)$ with w_k the weight function associated to the Dunkl operators given by

$$w_k(x) = \prod_{\xi \in R_+} |\langle \xi, x\rangle|^{2k(\xi)}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d \quad \text{(see next section).}$$

The modulus of continuity $\omega_{p,k}(f)$ of first order of a radial function f in $L^p_k(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is defined by

$$\omega_{p,k}(f)(x) = \sup_{0<t\leq x} \int_{S^{d-1}} \|\tau_{tu}(f) - f\|_{p,k} d\sigma(u), \ \ x > 0,$$

where S^{d-1} is the unit sphere on $\mathbb{R}^d$ with the normalized surface measure $d\sigma$.

We use $\| \ \|_{p,k}$ as a shorthand for $\| \ \|_{L^p_k(\mathbb{R}^d)}$.

We set for $f \in L^p_k(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$\tilde{\omega}_{p,k}(f)(x) = \sup_{0<t\leq x} \|f *_k \phi_t\|_{p,k}, \ \ x > 0,$$

where ϕ is a radial function in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfying

$$\exists \ c > 0\,; \ |\mathcal{F}_k(\phi)(y)| > c\,, \ \forall \ y \in \Big\{ z \in \mathbb{R}^d \, : \, \frac{1}{2} < \|z\| < 1 \Big\}.$$

ϕ_t being the dilation of ϕ given by $\phi_t(y) = \frac{1}{t^{2(\gamma+\frac{d}{2})}}\phi(\frac{y}{t})$, for all $t \in (0, +\infty)$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Note that $\mathcal{F}_k(\phi_t)(y) = \mathcal{F}_k(\phi)(ty)$.

Obviously, $\omega_{p,k}(f)(x)$ and $\tilde{\omega}_{p,k}(f)(x)$ are nondecreasing in x.

For $\beta > 0$, $1 \le p < +\infty$, we define the weighted Besov-Lipschitz spaces (see [7] for the classical case) denoted by $\mathcal{BD}_p^{\beta,k}$ as the subspace of radial functions f in $L_k^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfying

$$\sup_{x>0} \frac{\omega_{p,k}(f)(x)}{x^\beta} < +\infty.$$

Let φ a nonnegative function defined on $[0, 1]$. For a measurable complex-valued function f on $\mathbb{R}^d$, we define the generalized Cesàro operator $\mathcal{C}_\varphi$ by

$$\mathcal{C}_\varphi f(x) = \int_0^1 f(\frac{x}{t})\, t^{-(2\gamma+d)}\varphi(t)dt, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

where $\gamma = \sum\limits_{\xi\in R_+} k(\xi)$ with R_+ a fixed positive root system (see next section). If $k \equiv 0$, $\varphi \equiv 1$ and $d = 1$, we obtain the classical Cesàro average operator $\mathcal{C}$ given by

$$\mathcal{C}f(x) = \begin{cases} \int_x^{+\infty} \frac{f(y)}{y}dy, & \text{if } x > 0 \\ \\ -\int_{-\infty}^x \frac{f(y)}{y}dy, & \text{if } x < 0. \end{cases}$$

We define for $\beta > 0$ and $1 \le p, q < +\infty$, the homogeneous Herz-type space for the Dunkl operators $H_{p,q}^{\beta,k}$ (see [12] for the classical case) by the space of functions f in $L_k^p(\mathbb{R}^d)_{\mathbf{loc}}$ satisfying

$$\Big(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} (2^{j\beta}\|f\chi_j\|_{p,k})^q\Big)^{\frac{1}{q}} < +\infty,$$

where χ_j is the characteristic function of the set

$$A_j = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d\,;\, 2^{j-1} \le \|x\| \le 2^j\} \text{ for } j \in \mathbb{Z},$$

and $L_k^p(\mathbb{R}^d)_{\mathbf{loc}}$ is the space $L_{\mathbf{loc}}^p(\mathbb{R}^d, w_k(x)dx)$.

In this paper, first we give for $1 < p \le 2$, sufficient conditions for weighted L^p-estimates of the Dunkl transform $\mathcal{F}_k(f)$ of a function f using respectively the modulus of continuity $\omega_{p,k}(f)$ of f in the radial case and the convolution $\tilde{\omega}_{p,k}(f)$ for f in the general case. In particular, we obtain as application, the integrability of this transform on the Besov-Lipschitz spaces $\mathcal{BD}_p^{\beta,k}$. This is an extension to the Dunkl transform on higher dimension of the results obtained by F. Móricz in [13] for the Fourier transform on the real line. Second, we provide necessary and sufficient conditions for $\mathcal{C}_\varphi$ to be bounded on the Herz spaces $H_{p,q}^{\beta,k}$ when $\beta > 0$, $1 < p < +\infty$, $1 \leq q < +\infty$ and we obtain the corresponding operator norm inequalities.

The contents of this paper are as follows:
In section 2, we collect some basic definitions and results about harmonic analysis associated with Dunkl operators.
In section 3, we give sufficient conditions for weighted L^p-estimates of the Dunkl transform of function which we apply on the Besov-Lipschitz spaces.
In section 4, we provide necessary and sufficient conditions for $\mathcal{C}_\varphi$ to be bounded on Herz spaces.

Along this paper we denote by $\langle .,. \rangle$ the usual Euclidean inner product in $\mathbb{R}^d$ as well as its extension to $\mathbb{C}^d \times \mathbb{C}^d$, we write for $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\|x\| = \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle}$ and we use c to denote a suitable positive constant which is not necessarily the same in each occurrence. Furthermore, we denote by

- $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ the space of infinitely differentiable functions on $\mathbb{R}^d$.
- $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ the Schwartz space of functions in $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ which are rapidly decreasing as well as their derivatives.
- $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ the subspace of $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ of compactly supported functions.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we recall some notations and results in Dunkl theory and we refer for more details to the surveys [15].

Let W be a finite reflection group on $\mathbb{R}^d$, associated with a root system R. For $\alpha \in R$, we denote by $\mathbb{H}_\alpha$ the hyperplane orthogonal to α. Given $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^d \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in R} \mathbb{H}_\alpha$, we fix a positive subsystem $R_+ = \{\alpha \in R : \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle > 0\}$. We denote by k a nonnegative multiplicity function defined on R with the property that k is W-invariant. We associate with k the index

$$\gamma = \sum_{\xi \in R_+} k(\xi) \geq 0,$$

and and the weight function w_k given by

$$w_k(x) = \prod_{\xi \in R_+} |\langle \xi, x\rangle|^{2k(\xi)}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

w_k is W-invariant and homogeneous of degree 2γ.

Further, we introduce the Mehta-type constant c_k by

$$c_k = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-\frac{\|x\|^2}{2}} w_k(x)dx \right)^{-1}.$$

For every $1 \le p \le +\infty$, we denote by $L^p_k(\mathbb{R}^d)$, the space $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d, w_k(x)dx)$ and we use $\| \ \|_{p,k}$ as a shorthand for $\| \ \|_{L^p_k(\mathbb{R}^d)}$.

By using the homogeneity of degree 2γ of w_k, it is shown in [14] that for a radial function f in $L^1_k(\mathbb{R}^d)$, there exists a function F on $[0, +\infty)$ such that $f(x) = F(\|x\|)$, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. The function F is integrable with respect to the measure $r^{2\gamma+d-1}dr$ on $[0, +\infty)$ and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x)\, d\nu_k(x) &= \int_0^{+\infty} \Big(\int_{S^{d-1}} f(ry) w_k(ry) d\sigma(y) \Big) r^{d-1} dr \\ &= \int_0^{+\infty} \Big(\int_{S^{d-1}} w_k(ry) d\sigma(y) \Big) F(r) r^{d-1} dr \\ &= d_k \int_0^{+\infty} F(r) r^{2\gamma+d-1} dr, \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

where S^{d-1} is the unit sphere on $\mathbb{R}^d$ with the normalized surface measure $d\sigma$ and

$$d_k = \int_{S^{d-1}} w_k(x) d\sigma(x) = \frac{c_k^{-1}}{2^{\gamma+\frac{d}{2}-1}\Gamma(\gamma + \frac{d}{2})}. \tag{2.2}$$

The Dunkl operators T_j, $1 \le j \le d$, on $\mathbb{R}^d$ associated with the reflection group W and the multiplicity function k are the first-order differential-difference operators given by

$$T_j f(x) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(x) + \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} k(\alpha) \alpha_j \frac{f(x) - f(\rho_\alpha(x))}{\langle \alpha, x\rangle}, \quad f \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

where ρ_α is the reflection on the hyperplane $\mathbb{H}_\alpha$ and $\alpha_j = \langle \alpha, e_j\rangle$, $(e_1, \ldots, e_d)$ being the canonical basis of $\mathbb{R}^d$.

Remark 2.1 *In the case $k \equiv 0$, the weighted function $w_k \equiv 1$ and the measure associated to the Dunkl operators coincide with the Lebesgue measure. The T_j reduce to the corresponding partial derivatives. Therefore Dunkl analysis can be viewed as a generalization of classical Fourier analysis.*

For $y \in \mathbb{C}^d$, the system

$$\begin{cases} T_j u(x,y) &= \; y_j \, u(x,y), \qquad 1 \leq j \leq d\,, \\ \\ u(0,y) &= \; 1\,. \end{cases}$$

admits a unique analytic solution on $\mathbb{R}^d$, denoted by $E_k(x,y)$ and called the Dunkl kernel. This kernel has a unique holomorphic extension to $\mathbb{C}^d \times \mathbb{C}^d$. We have for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $z, z' \in \mathbb{C}^d$, $E_k(z,z') = E_k(z',z)$, $E_k(\lambda z, z') = E_k(z, \lambda z')$ and for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $|E_k(x,iy)| \leq 1$.

The Dunkl transform $\mathcal{F}_k$ is defined for $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by

$$\mathcal{F}_k(f)(x) = c_k \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(y) E_k(-ix,y) w_k(y) dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

We list some known properties of this transform:

i) The Dunkl transform of a function $f \in L^1_k(\mathbb{R}^d)$ has the following basic property

$$\|\mathcal{F}_k(f)\|_{\infty,k} \leq \|f\|_{1,k}\ .$$

ii) The Dunkl transform is an automorphism on the Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

iii) When both f and $\mathcal{F}_k(f)$ are in $L^1_k(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we have the inversion formula

$$f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{F}_k(f)(y) E_k(ix,y) w_k(y) dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

iv) (Plancherel's theorem) The Dunkl transform on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ extends uniquely to an isometric automorphism on $L^2_k(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Since the Dunkl transform $\mathcal{F}_k(f)$ is of strong-type $(1,\infty)$ and $(2,2)$, then by interpolation, we get for $f \in L^p_k(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $1 \leq p \leq 2$ and p' such that $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$, the Hausdorff-Young inequality

$$\|\mathcal{F}_k(f)\|_{p',k} \leq c\, \|f\|_{p,k}.$$

The Dunkl transform of a radial function in $L^1_k(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is also radial and could be expressed via the Hankel transform. More precisely, according to ([14], proposition 2.4), we have the following results:

$$\int_{S^{d-1}} E_k(ix,y)w_k(y)d\sigma(y) = d_k\, j_{\gamma+\frac{d}{2}-1}(\|x\|), \tag{2.3}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_k(f)(x) &= \int_0^{+\infty}\Big(\int_{S^{d-1}} E_k(-ix,y)w_k(y)d\sigma(y)\Big)F(r)r^{2\gamma+d-1}dr\\ &= c_k^{-1}\,\mathcal{H}_{\gamma+\frac{d}{2}-1}(F)(\|x\|), \quad x\in\mathbb{R}^d, \end{aligned}\tag{2.4}$$

where F is the function defined on $[0,+\infty)$ by $F(\|x\|) = f(x),\ x\in\mathbb{R}^d$, $\mathcal{H}_{\gamma+\frac{d}{2}-1}$ is the Hankel transform of order $\gamma+\frac{d}{2}-1$ and $j_{\gamma+\frac{d}{2}-1}$ the normalized Bessel function of the first kind and order $\gamma+\frac{d}{2}-1$.

The integral representation of j_α, $\alpha > -\frac{1}{2}$ is given by

$$j_\alpha(\lambda x) = \frac{2\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(\alpha+\frac{1}{2})}\int_0^1 (1-t^2)^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}}\cos(\lambda xt)dt \quad \text{for } x\in\mathbb{R} \text{ and } \lambda\in\mathbb{C}. \tag{2.5}$$

We note that

$$\frac{2\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(\alpha+\frac{1}{2})}\int_0^1 (1-t^2)^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}}dt = 1. \tag{2.6}$$

K. Trimèche has introduced in [18] the Dunkl translation operators τ_x, $x\in\mathbb{R}^d$, on $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. For $f\in\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $x,y\in\mathbb{R}^d$, we have

$$\mathcal{F}_k(\tau_x(f))(y) = E_k(ix,y)\mathcal{F}_k(f)(y). \tag{2.7}$$

Notice that for all $x,y\in\mathbb{R}^d$, $\tau_x(f)(y) = \tau_y(f)(x)$ and for fixed $x\in\mathbb{R}^d$

τ_x is a continuous linear mapping from $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ into $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

As an operator on $L^2_k(\mathbb{R}^d)$, τ_x is bounded. A priori it is not at all clear whether the translation operator can be defined for L^p- functions with p different from 2. However, according to ([17], Theorem 3.7), the operator τ_x can be extended to the space of radial functions in $L^p_k(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $1\le p\le 2$ and we have for a radial function $f\in L^p_k(\mathbb{R}^d)$

$$\|\tau_x(f)\|_{p,k} \le \|f\|_{p,k}. \tag{2.8}$$

The Dunkl convolution product $*_k$ of two functions f and g in $L^2_k(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is given by

$$(f \ *_k g)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tau_x(f)(-y)g(y)w_k(y)dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

The Dunkl convolution product is commutative and for $f,\, g \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we have

$$\mathcal{F}_k(f \ *_k \ g) = \mathcal{F}_k(f)\mathcal{F}_k(g). \tag{2.9}$$

It was shown in ([17], Theorem 4.1) that when g is a bounded radial function in $L^1_k(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then

$$(f \ *_k g)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(y)\tau_x(g)(-y)w_k(y)dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

initially defined on the intersection of $L^1_k(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $L^2_k(\mathbb{R}^d)$ extends to $L^p_k(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $1 \leq p \leq +\infty$ as a bounded operator. In particular,

$$\|f *_k g\|_{p,k} \leq \|f\|_{p,k}\|g\|_{1,k}\,. \tag{2.10}$$

3 Weighted L^p-estimates for the Dunkl transform with sufficient conditions

In this section, we give sufficient conditions for weighted L^p-estimates of the Dunkl transform of function which we apply on the Besov-Lipschitz space.

Throughout this section, we denote by p' the conjugate $\frac{p}{p-1}$ of p for $1 < p \leq 2$. According to (2.8) and (2.10), we recall that :

- The modulus of continuity $\omega_{p,k}(f)$ of first order of a radial function f in $L^p_k(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is defined by

$$\omega_{p,k}(f)(x) = \sup_{0<t\leq x} \int_{S^{d-1}} \|\tau_{tu}(f) - f\|_{p,k} d\sigma(u), \ \ x > 0.$$

- We set for $f \in L^p_k(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$\tilde{\omega}_{p,k}(f)(x) = \sup_{0<t\leq x} \|f *_k \phi_t\|_{p,k}, \ \ x > 0,$$

where ϕ is a radial function in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfying

$$\exists \ c > 0\,; \ |\mathcal{F}_k(\phi)(y)| > c\,, \ \forall \ y \in \Big\{ z \in \mathbb{R}^d \,:\, \frac{1}{2} < \|z\| < 1 \Big\}.$$

ϕ_t being the dilation of ϕ given by $\phi_t(y) = \frac{1}{t^{2(\gamma+\frac{d}{2})}}\phi(\frac{y}{t})$, for all $t \in (0, +\infty)$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

For $\theta \geq 1$, we introduce a class of nonnegative w_k-locally integrable radial functions on $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \|x\| \geq 1\}$ which we denote by G_θ. We said that a function g belongs to the class G_θ if there exists a constant $\kappa_\theta \geq 1$ such that for $\eta = 1, 2, ...$

$$\left(\int_{1\leq\|z\|\leq 2} g(2^\eta z)^\theta w_k(z)dz\right)^{\frac{1}{\theta}} \leq \kappa_\theta \int_{\frac{1}{2}\leq\|z\|\leq 1} g(2^\eta z)w_k(z)dz. \qquad (3.1)$$

If we set

$$C_\eta = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^d : 2^\eta \leq \|y\| < 2^{\eta+1}\}, \qquad \text{for } \eta = 0, 1, 2, ...,$$

then using (2.1) and the change of variables $y = 2^\eta z$, we can write (3.1) in the form

$$\left(\int_{C_\eta} g(y)^\theta w_k(y)dy\right)^{\frac{1}{\theta}} \leq \kappa_\theta\, 2^{\eta(\frac{1-\theta}{\theta})(2\gamma+d)} \int_{C_{\eta-1}} g(y)w_k(y)dy. \qquad (3.2)$$

Example 3.1 *If $g \equiv const$, then $g \in G_\theta$ where we choose*

$$\kappa_\theta \geq 2^{2\gamma+d}\Big(\frac{2^{2\gamma+d}-1}{2\gamma+d}\Big)^{\frac{1}{\theta}-1}.$$

Theorem 3.1 *Let $1 < p \leq 2$ and f be a radial function in $L^p_k(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then for $1 \leq q \leq p'$ and $g \in G_{\frac{p}{p-qp+q}}$, we have*

$$\int_{\|y\|\geq 2} g(y)|\mathcal{F}_k(f)(y)|^q w_k(y)dy \leq C\int_{\|y\|\geq 1} g(y)\|y\|^{-\frac{q}{p'}(2\gamma+d)}\omega^q_{p,k}(f)(\frac{\pi}{\|y\|})w_k(y)dy,$$

where C is a constant depending only on p and q.

Proof. Let f be a radial function in $L^p_k(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for $1 < p \leq 2$, then by (2.7) we have

$$\mathcal{F}_k(\tau_{xu}(f) - f)(y) = (E_k(ixu, y) - 1)\mathcal{F}_k f(y),$$

$u \in S^{d-1}$, $x \in [0,+\infty)$ and a.e $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$.
We can assert by Haussdorf-Young's inequality that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathcal{F}_k(\tau_{xu}(f)-f)\|_{p',k} &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\mathcal{F}_k f(y)|^{p'} |E_k(ixu,y)-1|^{p'} w_k(y)dy\right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \\ &\leq c\,\|\tau_{xu}(f)-f\|_{p,k}. \qquad (3.3)\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, from (2.1) and (2.4), we get

$$c_k \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\mathcal{F}_k f(y)|^{p'} |E_k(ixu,y)-1|^{p'} w_k(y)dy$$

$$= \int_0^{+\infty} |\mathcal{H}_{\gamma+\frac{d}{2}-1}(F)(r)| \left(\int_{S^{d-1}} |E_k(irxu,z)-1|^{p'} w_k(z)d\sigma(z)\right) r^{2\gamma+d-1}dr,$$

where $r = \|y\|$ and F is the function defined on $(0,+\infty)$ by $F(\|y\|) = f(y)$ for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$.
By (2.2), (2.3) and Hölder's inequality, we have

$$d_k |j_{\gamma+\frac{d}{2}-1}(rx)-1|$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \left|\int_{S^{d-1}} [E_k(ixru,z)-1] w_k(z)d\sigma(z)\right| \\ &\leq \left(\int_{S^{d-1}} w_k(z)d\sigma(z)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{S^{d-1}} |E_k(ixru,z)-1|^{p'} w_k(z)d\sigma(z)\right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \\ &\leq d_k^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{S^{d-1}} |E_k(ixru,z)-1|^{p'} w_k(z)d\sigma(z)\right)^{\frac{1}{p'}}.\end{aligned}$$

According to (3.3), it follows that

$$\int_0^{+\infty} |\mathcal{H}_{\gamma+\frac{d}{2}-1}(F)(r)|^{p'} |j_{\gamma+\frac{d}{2}-1}(rx)-1|^{p'} r^{2\gamma+d-1}dr \leq c\,\|\tau_{xu}(f)-f\|_{p,k}^{p'}. \qquad (3.4)$$

Integrating the two members of (3.4) over S^{d-1}, this yields

$$\left(\int_0^{+\infty} |\mathcal{H}_{\gamma+\frac{d}{2}-1}(F)(r)|^{p'} |j_{\gamma+\frac{d}{2}-1}(rx)-1|^{p'} r^{2\gamma+d-1}dr\right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \leq c\,\omega_{p,k}(f)(x). \qquad (3.5)$$

From (2.5) and (2.6), we get

$$|j_{\gamma+\frac{d}{2}-1}(rx)-1| = \frac{4\Gamma(\gamma+\frac{d}{2})}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(\gamma+\frac{d}{2}-\frac{1}{2})} \int_0^1 (1-t^2)^{\gamma+\frac{d}{2}-\frac{3}{2}} \sin^2(\frac{rxt}{2})dt,$$

then, we obtain

$$\frac{4\Gamma(\gamma+\frac{d}{2})}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(\gamma+\frac{d}{2}-\frac{1}{2})}\int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1}(1-t^2)^{\gamma+\frac{d}{2}-\frac{3}{2}}\sin^2(\frac{rxt}{2})dt \leq |j_{\gamma+\frac{d}{2}-1}(rx)-1|.$$

Let $x=\frac{\pi}{2^{\eta+1}}$, $\eta=1,2,...$ For $t\in[\frac{1}{2},1[$ and $y\in C_\eta$, we have

$$\frac{\pi}{8}\leq\frac{rxt}{2}\leq\frac{\pi}{2},$$

which gives that

$$c=\frac{4\Gamma(\gamma+\frac{d}{2})\sin^2(\frac{\pi}{8})}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(\gamma+\frac{d}{2}-\frac{1}{2})}\int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1}(1-t^2)^{\gamma+\frac{d}{2}-\frac{3}{2}}dt \leq |j_{\gamma+\frac{d}{2}-1}(r\frac{\pi}{2^{\eta+1}})-1|.$$

Hence from (3.5), we find that

$$\left(\int_{2^\eta}^{2^{\eta+1}}|\mathcal{H}_{\gamma+\frac{d}{2}-1}(F)(r)|^{p'}r^{2\gamma+d-1}dr\right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \leq c\,\omega_{p,k}(f)(\frac{\pi}{2^{\eta+1}}). \qquad (3.6)$$

Now, take $g\in G_{\frac{p}{p-qp+q}}$ and put $\tilde{g}(\|y\|)=g(y)$, for $y\in\mathbb{R}^d$. Applying Hölder's inequality, it follows from (2.1), (3.2) and (3.6) that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{2^\eta}^{2^{\eta+1}}\tilde{g}(r)|\mathcal{H}_{\gamma+\frac{d}{2}-1}(F)(r)|^{q}r^{2\gamma+d-1}dr\\
\leq\ & \left(\int_{2^\eta}^{2^{\eta+1}}|\mathcal{H}_{\gamma+\frac{d}{2}-1}(F)(r)|^{p'}r^{2\gamma+d-1}dr\right)^{\frac{q}{p'}}\left(\int_{2^\eta}^{2^{\eta+1}}\tilde{g}^{\frac{p'}{p'-q}}(r)r^{2\gamma+d-1}dr\right)^{\frac{p'-q}{p'}}\\
\leq\ & c\,\kappa_{\frac{p'}{p'-q}}2^{-\eta\frac{q}{p'}(2\gamma+d)}\omega^q_{p,k}(f)(\frac{\pi}{2^{\eta+1}})\int_{2^{\eta-1}}^{2^\eta}\tilde{g}(r)r^{2\gamma+d-1}dr.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{2}^{+\infty}\tilde{g}(r)|\mathcal{H}_{\gamma+\frac{d}{2}-1}(F)(r)|^{q}r^{2\gamma+d-1}dr\\
&\qquad\leq c\,\kappa_{\frac{p'}{p'-q}}\sum_{\eta=1}^{+\infty}2^{-\eta\frac{q}{p'}(2\gamma+d)}\omega^q_{p,k}(f)(\frac{\pi}{2^{\eta+1}})\int_{2^{\eta-1}}^{2^\eta}\tilde{g}(r)r^{2\gamma+d-1}dr.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the monotonicity of $\omega_{p,k}(f)(x)$ in $x>0$ and the fact that $\frac{\pi}{2^{\eta+1}}\leq\frac{\pi}{r}$, $2^{-\eta\frac{q}{p'}(2\gamma+d)}\leq r^{-\frac{q}{p'}(2\gamma+d)}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_2^{+\infty} \tilde{g}(r)|\mathcal{H}_{\gamma+\frac{d}{2}-1}(F)(r)|^q r^{2\gamma+d-1}dr \\
&\qquad \leq \; c\,\kappa_{\frac{p'}{p'-q}} \sum_{\eta=1}^{+\infty} \int_{2^{\eta-1}}^{2^\eta} \tilde{g}(r) r^{-\frac{q}{p'}(2\gamma+d)} \omega_{p,k}^q(f)(\frac{\pi}{r}) r^{2\gamma+d-1}dr \\
&\qquad \leq \; c\,\kappa_{\frac{p'}{p'-q}} \int_1^{+\infty} \tilde{g}(r) r^{-\frac{q}{p'}(2\gamma+d)} \omega_{p,k}^q(f)(\frac{\pi}{r}) r^{2\gamma+d-1}dr. \qquad (3.7)
\end{aligned}$$

By (2.1), (2.4) and (3.7), we conclude that

$$\int_{\|y\|\geq 2} g(y)|\mathcal{F}_k(f)(y)|^q w_k(y)dy \leq C \int_{\|y\|\geq 1} g(y)\|y\|^{-\frac{q}{p'}(2\gamma+d)} \omega_{p,k}^q(f)(\frac{\pi}{\|y\|}) w_k(y)dy,$$

where $C = c\,\kappa_{\frac{p'}{p'-q}}$ is a constant depending only on p and q. Our theorem is proved. $\square$

In particular, for the case $g \equiv$ *const* and $f \in \mathcal{BD}_p^{\beta,k}$, we obtain as application of Theorem 3.1, the following result which is of special interest and was proved in [1, Theorem 3.2].

Corollary 3.1 *Let* $\beta > 0$, $1 < p \leq 2$ *and* $f \in \mathcal{BD}_p^{\beta,k}$, *then*

1. *For* $0 < \beta \leq \frac{2(\gamma+\frac{d}{2})}{p}$, *we have*

$$\mathcal{F}_k(f) \in L_k^q(\mathbb{R}^d) \text{ provided that } \frac{2(\gamma+\frac{d}{2})p}{\beta p + 2(\gamma+\frac{d}{2})(p-1)} < q \leq p'.$$

2. *For* $\beta > \frac{2(\gamma+\frac{d}{2})}{p}$, *we have* $\mathcal{F}_k(f) \in L_k^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Theorem 3.2 *Let* $1 < p \leq 2$ *and* f *be a function in* $L_k^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$. *Then for* $1 \leq q \leq p'$ *and* $g \in G_{\frac{p}{p-qp+q}}$, *we have*

$$\int_{\|y\|\geq 2} g(y)|\mathcal{F}_k(f)(y)|^q w_k(y)dy \leq C \int_{\|y\|\geq 1} g(y)\|y\|^{-\frac{q}{p'}(2\gamma+d)} \tilde{\omega}_{p,k}^q(f)(\frac{1}{\|y\|}) w_k(y)dy,$$

where C *is a constant depending only on* p *and* q.

Proof. Let f be a function in $L_k^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for $1 < p \leq 2$, then by (2.9) we have for $x \in (0,+\infty)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}_k(f *_k \phi_x)(y) &= \mathcal{F}_k(f)(y)\mathcal{F}_k(\phi_x)(y)\,, \\
&= \mathcal{F}_k(f)(y)\mathcal{F}_k(\phi)(xy)\,, \text{ a.e. } y \in \mathbb{R}^d.
\end{aligned}$$

Using Haussdorf-Young's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathcal{F}_k(f *_k \phi_x)\|_{p',k} &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\mathcal{F}_k f(y)|^{p'} |\mathcal{F}_k(\phi)(xy)|^{p'} w_k(y)dy\right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \\ &\leq c\,\|f *_k \phi_x\|_{p,k} \\ &\leq c\,\tilde{\omega}^q_{p,k}(f)(x). \qquad (3.8)\end{aligned}$$

Let $x = \frac{1}{2^{\eta+1}}$ and $\eta = 1, 2, ...$ For $y \in C_\eta$, we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \leq x\|y\| \leq 1,$$

this gives using (3.8) and the property of the function ϕ,

$$\left(\int_{C_\eta} |\mathcal{F}_k f(y)|^{p'} w_k(y)dy\right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \leq c\,\tilde{\omega}^q_{p,k}(f)(\frac{1}{2^{\eta+1}}). \qquad (3.9)$$

Now, take $g \in G_{\frac{p}{p-qp+q}}$. Applying Hölder's inequality, it follows from (3.2) and (3.9) that

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{C_\eta} g(y)|\mathcal{F}_k f(y)|^q w_k(y)dy \\ &\quad\leq \left(\int_{C_\eta} |\mathcal{F}_k f(y)|^{p'} w_k(y)dy\right)^{\frac{q}{p'}} \left(\int_{C_\eta} g^{\frac{p'}{p'-q}}(y) w_k(y)dy\right)^{\frac{p'-q}{p'}} \\ &\quad\leq c\,\kappa_{\frac{p'}{p'-q}} 2^{-\eta\frac{q}{p'}(2\gamma+d)} \tilde{\omega}^q_{p,k}(f)(\frac{1}{2^{\eta+1}}) \int_{C_{\eta-1}} g(y)w_k(y)dy.\end{aligned}$$

Then, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\|y\|\geq 2} g(y)|\mathcal{F}_k f(y)|^q w_k(y)dy \\ &\quad\leq c\,\kappa_{\frac{p'}{p'-q}} \sum_{\eta=1}^{+\infty} 2^{-\eta\frac{q}{p'}(2\gamma+d)} \tilde{\omega}^q_{p,k}(f)(\frac{1}{2^{\eta+1}}) \int_{C_{\eta-1}} g(y)w_k(y)dy.\end{aligned}$$

As in the proof of Theorem 3.1, using the monotonicity of $\tilde{\omega}_{p,k}(f)(x)$ in $x > 0$, we conclude that

$$\int_{\|y\|\geq 2} g(y)|\mathcal{F}_k(f)(y)|^q w_k(y)dy \leq C \int_{\|y\|\geq 1} g(y)\|y\|^{-\frac{q}{p'}(2\gamma+d)} \tilde{\omega}^q_{p,k}(f)(\frac{1}{\|y\|})w_k(y)dy,$$

where $C = c\,\kappa_{\frac{p'}{p'-q}}$ is a constant depending only on p and q. This completes the proof. $\square$

Remark 3.1 *As consequence, from Theorem 3.2, we deduce in the particular case when* $g \equiv const$ *and* $f \in L^p_k(\mathbb{R}^d)$ *satisfying*

$$\sup_{x>0} \frac{\tilde{\omega}_{p,k}(f)(x)}{x^\beta} < +\infty,$$

similar results to those obtained in corollary 3.1.

Remark 3.2 *It was shown in [2, Theorem 3.1] that the Dunkl transform is a continuous map between a class of Besov spaces and Herz spaces.*

4 Boundedness of the Cesàro operator on Herz spaces

In this section, we provide necessary and sufficient conditions for the generalized Cesàro operator $\mathcal{C}_\varphi$ to be bounded on Herz spaces. Before, we start with some useful lemmas.

Lemma 4.1 *Let* $1 \leq q < \infty$. *If* f *be a non-negative measurable function on* $[0,1]$, *then*

$$\Big(\int_0^1 f\Big)^q \leq \int_0^1 f^q. \tag{4.1}$$

Lemma 4.2 *(see E. F. Beckenbach and R. Bellman [6]) Let* $1 \leq q < \infty$. *If* f *be a non-negative measurable and concave function on* $[0,1]$, *then*

$$\Big(\int_0^1 f\Big)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq \frac{1+\frac{1}{q}}{2^{\frac{1}{q}}} \int_0^1 f^{\frac{1}{q}}. \tag{4.2}$$

Theorem 4.1 *Let* $\beta > 0$, $1 < p < +\infty$, $1 \leq q < +\infty$ *and* φ *be a real-valued non-negative measurable function defined on* $[0,1]$. *Suppose that* $t \mapsto t^{-(2\gamma+d)(1-\frac{1}{p})}\varphi(t)$ *is a concave function on* $[0,1]$, *then the generalized Cesàro operator* $\mathcal{C}_\varphi$ *can be extended to a bounded operator from* $H^{\beta,k}_{p,q}$ *into itself if and only if*

$$\int_0^1 t^{\beta-(2\gamma+d)(1-\frac{1}{p})}\varphi(t)dt < +\infty. \tag{4.3}$$

Moreover, when (4.3) holds, the operator norm $\|\mathcal{C}_\varphi\|$ *of* $\mathcal{C}_\varphi$ *on* $H^{\beta,k}_{p,q}$ *satisfies the following inequality*

$$\int_0^1 t^{\beta-(2\gamma+d)(1-\frac{1}{p})}\varphi(t)dt \leq \|\mathcal{C}_\varphi\| \leq c_{q,\beta} \int_0^1 t^{\beta-(2\gamma+d)(1-\frac{1}{p})}\varphi(t)dt,$$

with $c_{q,\beta} = 2^{(1-\frac{2}{q})}(1+\frac{1}{q})(1+2^{\beta})$.

Proof. Let $\beta > 0$, $1 < p < +\infty$, $1 \leq q < +\infty$ and $f \in H^{\beta,k}_{p,q}$.

Suppose that (4.3) holds. Using the Minkowski inequality for integrals and the homogeneity of degree 2γ of w_k, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(\mathcal{C}_\varphi f)\chi_j\|_{p,k} &= \Big(\int_{A_j}\Big|\int_0^1 f(\frac{x}{t})t^{-(2\gamma+d)}\varphi(t)dt\Big|^p w_k(x)dx)\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&\leq \int_0^1 \Big(\int_{A_j}|f(\frac{x}{t})|^p w_k(x)dx\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}} t^{-(2\gamma+d)}\varphi(t)dt \\
&= \int_0^1 \Big(\int_{\frac{2^{j-1}}{t}\leq\|u\|\leq\frac{2^j}{t}}|f(u)|^p w_k(u)du\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}} t^{-(2\gamma+d)(1-\frac{1}{p})}\varphi(t)dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Put $\psi(t) = t^{-(2\gamma+d)(1-\frac{1}{p})}\varphi(t)$, $t \in (0,1)$. Since for each $t \in (0,1)$, there exists an integer m such that $2^{m-1} \leq t \leq 2^m$, then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(\mathcal{C}_\varphi f)\chi_j\|_{p,k} &\leq \int_0^1 (\|f\chi_{j-m}\|^p_{p,k} + \|f\chi_{j-m+1}\|^p_{p,k})^{\frac{1}{p}}\psi(t)dt \\
&\leq \int_0^1 (\|f\chi_{j-m}\|_{p,k} + \|f\chi_{j-m+1}\|_{p,k})\psi(t)dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Using (4.1), it follows that

$$\Big(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} 2^{j\beta q}\|(\mathcal{C}_\varphi f)\chi_j\|^q_{p,k}\Big)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \Big[\sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} 2^{j\beta q}\Big(\int_0^1 (\|f\chi_{j-m}\|_{p,k} + \|f\chi_{j-m+1}\|_{p,k})\,\psi(t)dt\Big)^q\Big]^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
&\leq \Big(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} 2^{j\beta q}\int_0^1 (\|f\chi_{j-m}\|_{p,k} + \|f\chi_{j-m+1}\|_{p,k})^q\,\psi^q(t)dt\Big)^{\frac{1}{q}},
\end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} 2^{j\beta q}\|(\mathcal{C}_\varphi f)\chi_j\|_{p,k}^q\Big)^{\frac{1}{q}}\\
&\qquad\leq\ 2^{1-\frac{1}{q}}\Big(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty}\int_0^1 2^{j\beta q}(\|f\chi_{j-m}\|_{p,k}^q+\|f\chi_{j-m+1}\|_{p,k}^q)\,\psi^q(t)dt\Big)^{\frac{1}{q}}\\
&\qquad\leq\ 2^{1-\frac{1}{q}}\Big(\int_0^1\sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty}2^{(j-m)\beta q}\|f\chi_{j-m}\|_{p,k}^q 2^{m\beta q}\psi^q(t)dt\Big)^{\frac{1}{q}}\\
&\qquad\quad +2^{1-\frac{1}{q}}\Big(\int_0^1\sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty}2^{(j-m+1)\beta q}\|f\chi_{j-m+1}\|_{p,k}^q 2^{(m-1)\beta q}\psi^q(t)dt\Big)^{\frac{1}{q}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then from (4.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} 2^{j\beta q}\|(\mathcal{C}_\varphi f)\chi_j\|_{p,k}^q\Big)^{\frac{1}{q}}\\
&\qquad\leq\ 2^{1-\frac{2}{q}}(1+\frac{1}{q})\Big(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty}2^{j\beta q}\|f\chi_j\|_{p,k}^q\Big)^{\frac{1}{q}}\int_0^1(2^{m\beta}+2^{(m-1)\beta})\psi(t)dt\\
&\qquad\leq\ \Big[2^{1-\frac{2}{q}}(1+\frac{1}{q})(1+2^\beta)\int_0^1 t^\beta\psi(t)dt\Big]\Big(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty}2^{j\beta q}\|f\chi_j\|_{p,k}^q\Big)^{\frac{1}{q}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we deduce that

$$\|\mathcal{C}_\varphi\|\leq c_{q,\beta}\int_0^1 t^{\beta-(2\gamma+d)(1-\frac{1}{p})}\varphi(t)dt,$$

where $c_{q,\beta}=2^{(1-\frac{2}{q})}(1+\frac{1}{q})(1+2^\beta)$.

Conversely, assume that the generalized Cesàro operator $\mathcal{C}_\varphi$ is bounded, then for $f\in H_{p,q}^{\beta,k}$,

$$\Big(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} 2^{j\beta q}\|(\mathcal{C}_\varphi f)\chi_j\|_{p,k}^q\Big)^{\frac{1}{q}}\ \leq\ \|\mathcal{C}_\varphi\|\Big(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty}2^{j\beta q}\|f\chi_j\|_{p,k}^q\Big)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \tag{4.4}$$

For any $\varepsilon\in(0,1)$, we set

$$f_\varepsilon(x)=\begin{cases}\|x\|^{-(\beta+\varepsilon+\frac{2\gamma+d}{p})} & \text{if } \|x\|>1\\ 0 & \text{otherwise,}\end{cases}$$

then for $j = 0, -1, -2, \cdots$, $\|f_\varepsilon \chi_j\|_{p,k} = 0$, and for $j \in \mathbb{N}\backslash\{0\}$, it follows from (2.1) and (2.2) that

$$\begin{aligned}\|f_\varepsilon \chi_j\|_{p,k}^p &= \int_{2^{j-1}\leq\|x\|\leq 2^j} \|x\|^{-(\beta+\varepsilon+\frac{2\gamma+d}{p})p}\omega_k(x)dx \\ &= \int_{S^{d-1}} w_k(y)d\sigma(y)\int_{2^{j-1}}^{2^j} r^{-(\beta+\varepsilon)p-1}dr \\ &= C_\varepsilon\, 2^{-j(\beta+\varepsilon)p}.\end{aligned}$$

where $C_\varepsilon = \dfrac{2^{(\beta+\varepsilon)p}-1}{(\beta+\varepsilon)p}\displaystyle\int_{S^{d-1}} w_k(y)d\sigma(y) = \dfrac{2^{(\beta+\varepsilon)p}-1}{(\beta+\varepsilon)p}\, d_k$.
Hence, it yields

$$\begin{aligned}\Big(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} 2^{j\beta q}\|f_\varepsilon\chi_j\|_{p,k}^q\Big)^{\frac{1}{q}} &= \Big(\sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} 2^{j\beta q}\|f_\varepsilon\chi_j\|_{p,k}^q\Big)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &= C_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p}}\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} 2^{-j\varepsilon q}\Big)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &= C_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p}}\,\frac{2^{-q\varepsilon}}{(1-2^{-q\varepsilon})^{\frac{1}{q}}}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

thus $f_\varepsilon \in H_{p,q}^{\beta,k}$. Now, it's easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{C}_\varphi f_\varepsilon(x) &= \int_0^{\|x\|} \|\frac{x}{t}\|^{-(\beta+\varepsilon+\frac{2\gamma+d}{p})}t^{-(2\gamma+d)}\varphi(t)dt \\ &= \|x\|^{-(\beta+\varepsilon+\frac{2\gamma+d}{p})}\int_0^{\|x\|} t^{\beta+\varepsilon-(2\gamma+d)(1-\frac{1}{p})}\varphi(t)dt.\end{aligned}$$

Recalling that $\psi(t) = t^{-(2\gamma+d)(1-\frac{1}{p})}\varphi(t)$, $t \in (0,1)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} 2^{j\beta q}\|(\mathcal{C}_\varphi f_\varepsilon)\chi_j\|_{p,k}^q \\ &= \sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} 2^{j\beta q}\Big[\int_{A_j}\Big(\|x\|^{-(\beta+\varepsilon+\frac{2\gamma+d}{p})}\int_0^{\|x\|} t^{\beta+\varepsilon}\psi(t)dt\Big)^p w_k(x)dx\Big]^{\frac{q}{p}} \\ &\geq \sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} 2^{j\beta q}\Big[\int_{\|x\|>1}\chi_j(x)\|x\|^{-(\beta+\varepsilon+\frac{2\gamma+d}{p})p}\Big(\int_0^{\|x\|} t^{\beta+\varepsilon}\psi(t)dt\Big)^p w_k(x)dx\Big]^{\frac{q}{p}} \\ &\geq \Big(\int_0^1 t^{\beta+\varepsilon}\psi(t)dt\Big)^q\sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} 2^{j\beta q}\Big(\int_{A_j}\|x\|^{-(\beta+\varepsilon+\frac{2\gamma+d}{p})p}w_k(x)dx\Big)^{\frac{q}{p}}.\end{aligned}$$

It follows from (4.5) that

$$\Big(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} 2^{j\beta q}\|(\mathcal{C}_\varphi f_\varepsilon)\chi_j\|_{p,k}^q\Big)^{\frac{1}{q}} \quad\geq\quad C_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p}}\frac{2^{-\varepsilon}}{(1-2^{-q\varepsilon})^{\frac{1}{q}}}\Big(\int_0^1 t^{\beta+\varepsilon}\psi(t)dt\Big),$$

thus combining this inequality with (4.4) and (4.5), we can assert that

$$\|\mathcal{C}_\varphi\| \geq \int_0^1 t^{\beta+\varepsilon-(2\gamma+d)(1-\frac{1}{p})}\varphi(t)dt,$$

which implies when $\varepsilon \to 0$

$$\|\mathcal{C}_\varphi\| \geq \int_0^1 t^{\beta-(2\gamma+d)(1-\frac{1}{p})}\varphi(t)dt.$$

This completes the proof of the theorem. □

References

[1] C. Abdelkefi and M.Sifi, Further results of integrability for the Dunkl transform. Communication in Mathematical Analysis Vol. 2, N.1 (2007), 29-36.

[2] C. Abdelkefi, Dunkl transform on Besov spaces and Herz spaces. Communication in Mathematical Analysis, Vol. 2, No 2 (2007), 35-41.

[3] C. Abdelkefi, J. Ph. Anker, F. Sassi and M. Sifi, Besov-type spaces on $\mathbb{R}^d$ and integrability for the Dunkl transform. Symmetry, Integrability and Geometry: Methods and Applications, SIGMA 5 (2009), 019, 15 pages.

[4] C. Abdelkefi, Dunkl operators on $\mathbb{R}^d$ and uncentered maximal function. J. Lie Theory 20 (2010), No.1, 113-125.

[5] C. Abdelkefi, Weighted function spaces and Dunkl transform. Mediterr. J. Math. 9 (2012), 499-513 Springer Basel AG.

[6] E. F. Beckenbach and R. Bellman, Inequalities, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.

[7] O. V. Besov, On a family of function spaces in connection with embeddings and extentions, Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov 60 (1961), 42-81.

[8] M. F. E. de Jeu , The Dunkl transform. Inv. Math, 113 (1993), 147-162.

[9] C. F. Dunkl, Differential-difference operators associated to reflection groups. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 311, No1, (1989), 167-183.

[10] C. F. Dunkl, Integral kernels with reflection group invariance. Can. J. Math. 43, No 6, (1991), 1213-1227.

[11] C. F. Dunkl and Y. Xu, Orthogonal polynomials of several variables. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2001.

[12] C. S. Herz, Lipschitz spaces and Bernstein's theorem on absolutely convergent Fourier transform, J. Math. Mech. 18 (1968/69), 283-323.

[13] F. Móricz, Sufficient conditions for the Lebesgue integrability of Fourier transforms. Analysis Mathematica, 36 (2010), 121-129.

[14] M. Rösler and M. Voit, Markov processes with Dunkl operators. Adv. in Appl. Math. 21, (1998), 575-643.

[15] M. Rösler, Dunkl operators: theory and applications, in Orthogonal polynomials and special functions (Leuven, 2002). Lect. Notes Math. 1817, Springer-Verlag (2003), 93-135.

[16] M. Rösler, A positive radial product formula for the Dunkl kernel. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 335, n6, (2003), 2413-2438.

[17] S. Thangavelyu and Y. Xu, Convolution operator and maximal function for Dunkl transform. J. Anal. Math. Vol. 97, (2005), 25-56.

[18] K. Trimèche, Paley-Wiener theorems for the Dunkl transform and Dunkl translation operators. Integral Transforms Spec. Funct. 13, (2002), 17-38.

[19] J.F. van Diejen, L. Vinet, Calogero-Sutherland-Moser Models. CRM Series in Math. Phys., Springer-Verlag, 2000.